RESEARCH



Diagnostic value of routine blood tests in differentiating between SARS-CoV-2, influenza A, and RSV infections in hospitalized children: a retrospective study



Longli Huang¹, Cuiying Ye¹, Renxi Zhou¹ and Zexuan Ji^{1*}

Abstract

Background The Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), influenza A, and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infections have similar modes of transmission and clinical symptoms. There is a need to identify simple diagnostic indicators to distinguish these three infections, particularly for community hospitals and low- and middle-income countries that lack nucleic acid detection kits. This study used clinical data to assess the diagnostic value of routine blood tests in differentiating between SARS-CoV-2, influenza A, and RSV infections in children.

Methods A total of 1420 children treated at the Hangzhou Children's Hospital between December 2022 and June 2023 were enrolled in this study, of whom 351 had SARS-CoV-2, 671 had influenza, and 398 had RSV. In addition, 243 healthy children were also collected. The blood test results of SARS-CoV-2 patients were compared to those of patients with influenza A and RSV and the healthy controls. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC-ROC) was employed to evaluate each blood parameter's diagnostic value.

Results Children with SARS-CoV-2 exhibited notably elevated levels of white blood cell (WBC) count, platelet (PLT) count, neutrophil count, and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) compared to influenza A patients (P < 0.05). In contrast, SARS-CoV-2 patients exhibited a decrease in the mean platelet volume to platelet count ratio (MPV/PLT) and the lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR) when compared to other individuals (P < 0.05). These parameters had an AUC between 0.5 and 0.7. Compared to patients with RSV, SARS-CoV-2 patients had significantly higher MPV/PLT and significantly lower WBC, lymphocyte, PLT, LMR, and lymphocyte multiplied by platelet (LYM*PLT) values (P < 0.05). However, only LYM*PLT had an acceptable diagnostic value above 0.7 for all age groups. Compared to healthy children, children with COVID-19 exhibited elevated NLR and MPV/PLT levels, alongside decreased lymphocyte, PLT, LMR, and LYM*PLT values. (P < 0.05). The AUC of the LMR, LYM*PLT, and PLT were above 0.7 in all age groups, indicating promising diagnostic values.

Conclusions The routine blood parameters among patients with COVID-19, influenza A, and RSV differ significantly early in the disease and could be used by clinicians to discriminate between the 3 types of infection.

*Correspondence: Zexuan Ji jizexuan0204@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicate of the original autory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Keywords SARS-CoV-2, Influenza A, RSV, Hospitalized children, Blood parameters

Introduction

The Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was the cause of the emergence of the coronavirus pandemic, also known as COVID-19. Although the COVID-19 pandemic is no longer considered a public health emergency as of 2022, the simultaneous outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 infection alongside the seasonal respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and influenza viruses, has led to several hospitalization peaks in several pediatric wards globally [1]. The influenza viruses are categorized into three different types, and influenza A is most likely to cause regional outbreaks and epidemics [2]. On the other hand, almost every child gets infected with RSV prior to reaching the age of two, and thus, this infection poses a significant disease burden in young children [3]. However, since these three infections have similar symptoms, including cough, rhinorrhea, and fever, it is difficult to distinguish them based solely on clinical symptoms [4]. As a result, there is a need to identify these infectious diseases early to isolate the highly infectious cases and thus provide prompt treatment interventions.

Real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is extensively recognized as the reference or the "gold-standard" for diagnosing these three viruses in clinical laboratories. This diagnostic test has demonstrated comparable or superior sensitivity compared to viral culture and can effectively detect the presence of the virus in both its active and dormant state [5-7]. However, in resource-limited areas and community hospitals, conducting these tests can pose challenges due to the limited availability of financial resources, personnel, and equipment. As a result, antigen-based diagnostics are often used to obtain rapid results in low-income community hospitals. However, although these tests have exhibited reasonable performance in confirming viral infections, they have a relatively low sensitivity and cannot be used to differentiate between the three infections [8-11]. Therefore, simple diagnostic indicators are needed to facilitate differential diagnosis, particularly in hospitals with limited resources.

Recent studies have shown that blood parameters obtained from routine blood tests hold significant predictive prognostic value in various diseases, including infection and cancer [12]. These tests are fast to perform and are easily available in primary hospitals. As a result, routine blood tests could provide a simple, cost-effective tool to obtain a differential diagnosis. Several studies have examined the importance of routine blood tests in diagnosing SARS-CoV-2 infections in adults [13–15]. However, to date, there is less research on children. Specific studies suggest that children who are infected with en, Blood parameters SARS-CoV-2, Influenza, and RSV have distinct blood parameter profiles and clinical characteristics. The

research conducted by Hedberg P et al. [16] reveals that children infected with SARS-CoV-2 tend to be older and have a lower occurrence of chronic cardiac and respiratory problems compared to other viruses. Additionally, blood parameters could be used to differentiate between SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory viruses. Leila Mohebi and her colleagues discovered that respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infections in children might cause alterations in the parameters of a complete blood count, which may vary from the changes reported in infections caused by SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza [17]. The research published by Zhu R et al. showed that regular blood parameters can be used as an effective technique for quickly detecting influenza virus infection in children [2].

In this study, we sought to compare the routine blood parameters in hospitalized SARS-CoV-2, influenza A, and RSV-positive children to those in healthy children. Additionally, we also investigated the predictive value of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), lymphocyteto-monocyte ratio (LMR), mean platelet volume to platelet count ratio (MPV/PLT), lymphocyte count multiplied by the platelet count (LYM*PLT), platelet (PLT), white blood cell (WBC), and lymphocyte (LYM) serum levels for early differential diagnosis of influenza A, RSV and SARS-CoV-2 in children.

Methods

Selection criteria

This study included the participation of hospitalized children aged<18 years who received treatment for SARS-CoV-2, influenza A, and RSV in Hangzhou Children's Hospital from December 2022 to June 2023. The patient's electronic medical records were examined to eliminate instances in which treatment regimens, such as antibiotics and antiviral treatment, were administered prior to blood collection to confirm that the patient had not undergone any prior treatment. Inclusion criteria were RT-PCR confirmation of COVID-19, influenza A, or RSV, and negative RT-PCR confirmation of other respiratory viral infections. Trauma and surgical patients, cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy, and those with systemic chronic systemic diseases including hypertension, anemia, diabetes, respiratory disease, and impaired renal function were excluded. Patients with bacteremia, sepsis, abscess, and other viral infections (e.g., Enterovirus 71(EV-71) and Adenovirus infection) were also excluded. In addition, healthy children who underwent a health checkup at the Hangzhou Children's Hospital within the same period were enrolled as healthy controls (H-group)

for comparison. All participants enrolled in the H-group had to have a negative RT-PCR for all viruses.

Data collection

On admission, all patients had routine blood tests and respiratory specimens, including nasal and pharyngeal swabs. Blood detection was carried out using a routine analyzer (BC5100CRP, Mindray, China). The recorded parameters consisted of blood cell count, mean platelet volume (MPV), and hemoglobin levels (Hb). RT-PCR was performed on all swabs to test for SARS-CoV-2, influenza A, or RSV infection. Clinical data and demographic were obtained retrospectively from patients' electrical medical records.

Data analysis

The data were analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences software (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) version 25 and the MedCalc° Statistical Software version 20.100. Since the routine blood parameters levels are agedependent, we divided the children into four age groups: below 6 months, 6 to 24 months, 2 to 6 years, and 6 to 18 years. The normality of the continuous variables was examined. In the context of variables that follow a normal distribution, we used the mean±standard deviation (SD) to provide a summary of the data. On the other hand, for non-normally distributed variable, we presented as the data as the median with interquartile ranges. Additionally, the categorical variables were represented in the form of percentages. The study conducted a comparison of the blood parameters among three types of infections and the control groups across four different age groups. The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was employed to assess the variations in blood parameters for variables that followed a normal distribution, while the Kruskal-Wallis test was used for variables that did not follow a normal distribution. The comparison of the categorical variables was performed using Pearson's Chi-squared test. Subsequently, when significant differences emerged among the three infection groups and the H-group in one age group, we employed the Bonferroni test for multiple comparisons to discern which groups exhibited a statistically significant difference. A significance level of P < 0.05 was employed to determine the statistical significance.

The diagnostic value of each evaluated blood parameter was assessed by utilizing the area under the curve (AUC) of a receiver operator characteristic curve (ROC). The diagnostic utility of the blood parameters was categorized as follows: those with an AUC falling between 0.6 and 0.7 were considered acceptable, those within the range of 0.7 to 0.9 were deemed excellent, and those with an AUC above 0.9 were classified as outstanding. All experimental analyses yielded statistically significant results when the *p*-value was below 0.05. The study utilized DeLong's test to assess the statistical significance of differences in the area under the curve (AUC) of blood parameters across the three infection groups and the healthy control group. The cut-off value was determined using Youden's index.

Results

Patient characteristics

This cohort research comprised 1420 participants, among whom 351 individuals tested positive for SARS-Cov-2, 671 individuals tested positive for Influenza A, and 398 individuals were recognized as positive for RSV. (Fig. 1). Additionally, 243 children were enrolled in the healthy control group. There was no significant difference observed in gender distribution among the three infection groups and the H-group. However, a statistically significant difference in all age groups except the 6 to 24-month age group was noted between the three infection groups and the H-group (Table 1). We noted that children with SARS-CoV-2 were significantly younger (mean age, 11.94 ± 3.10 year) compared with influenza A (mean age, 4.51 ± 2.97 years) and healthy children (mean age, 3.33 ± 2.99 years) (Table 1).

Monthly incidence of the SARS-CoV-2, RSV, and influenza A infections among the hospitalized children

Figure 1 demonstrates the number of children hospitalized per month for each pathogen. During the months of December 2022, May 2023, and June 2023, most hospitalizations were caused by the SARS-CoV-2 and RSV viruses. However, between February and March 2023, nearly all hospitalizations were caused by the influenza A virus.

Comparison of routine blood parameters among the infected groups and H-group for the four age categories

The RBC counts in the SARS-CoV-2, influenza A, RSV, and H groups did not show a statistically significant difference in the below 6-month subgroup (Table 2). However, there were statistically significant differences in the RBC counts in the remaining three age subgroups (Tables 3 and 4, and 5). A statistically significant difference in the hemoglobin level (Hb) was only observed in the 6 to 24-month subgroup (Table 4). The remaining blood counts differed significantly between the four age groups (Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5). In the below 6-month subgroup, there were significant differences in the NLR and LMR between the SARS CoV-2 and influenza A infection groups. The WBC, LYM, PLT, LMR, and LYM*PLT parameters did not differ significantly between the SARS-CoV-2 and RSV groups. Conversely, a statistically significant difference was noted between the MPV/ PLT and PLT parameters between the SARS-COV-2 and

Table 1 The baseline characteristics of the patients

		SARS-Cov-2	Influenza A	RSV	Н	X ² /F	P-value
		(<i>n</i> =351)	(<i>n</i> =671)	(<i>n</i> = 398)	(<i>n</i> = 243)		
0 to 6 months	Female	74	6	22	6	6.245	0.100
(n=235)	Male	80	16	29	2		
	Mean age(y)	0.20 ± 0.12^{ac}	0.27 ± 0.10	0.23 ± 0.12	0.29 ± 0.08	4.408	0.005**
	no./total no. (%)	154/235(65.5)	22/235(9.4)	51/235(21.7)	8/235(3.4)		
6 to 24 months	Female	38	49	62	39	0.935	0.817
(n=428)	Male	57	61	78	44		
	Mean age(y)	0.86 ± 0.22	0.87 ± 0.18	0.83 ± 0.19	0.82 ± 0.21	3.514	0.319
	no./total no. (%)	95/428(22.2)	110/428(225.7)	140/428(32.7)	83/428(19.4)		
2 to 6 years	Female	31	126	97	38	3.321	0.345
(n=665)	Male	35	171	105	62		
	Mean age(y)	3.23±1.13 ^b	3.49 ± 1.06	2.70 ± 0.70	3.14 ± 0.98	69.035	0.000**
	no./total no. (%)	66/665(9.9)	297/665(44.7)	202/665(30.4)	100/665(15.0)		
6 to 18 years	Female	17	119	4	26	1.951	0.583
(n=335)	Male	19	123	1	26		
	Mean age(y)	9.92 ± 2.89^{abc}	8.00(6.00,9.00)	6.80 ± 1.10	8.19±2.12	22.328	0.000**
	no./total no. (%)	36/335(10.7)	242/335(72.2)	5/335(1.5)	52/335(15.5)		
0 to 18 years	Female	160	300	185	109	0.349	0.951
(n=1663)	Male	191	371	213	134		
	Mean age(y)	1.94 ± 3.10^{ac}	4.51 ± 2.97	1.78 ± 1.28	3.33 ± 2.99	114.913	0.000**
	no./total no. (%)	351/1663(21.1)	671/1663(40.3)	398/1663(23.9)	243/1663(14.6)		

Abbreviations: SARS CoV-2: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, RSV: respiratory syncytial virus, H health.

^aCompared with the Influenza A group, *P*<0.05

^bCompared with the RSV group, P < 0.05

^cCompared with the H group, P < 0.05

***P*-value<0.01.



Fig. 1 Monthly SARS-CoV-2, RSV, and influenza A cases in hospitalized children, December 2022 to June 2023

the H-group (Table 2, Supplemental Fig. 1). In the 6 to 24-month subgroup, a statistically significant difference was noted in the WBC, LYM, RBC, PLT, MPV/PLT, and LYM*PLT parameters between the SARS-CoV-2 and RSV groups. Similarly, a statistically significant difference was noted in the LYM, RBC, PLT, NLR, LMR, LYM*PLT, and MPV/PLT levels between the SARS-CoV-2 and the

H-group (Table 3, Supplemental Fig. 1). In the 2 to 6-year subgroup, significant differences in the WBC, LMR, PLT, and MPV/PLT levels were observed between the SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A groups. Similarly, a statistically significant difference was noted in the PLT, LYM, LYM*PLT, LMR, and MPV/PLT between the SARS-CoV-2 and RSV groups. The PLT, LYM, LYM*PLT, LMR,

Table 2	Hematological	parameters in the i	nfected group	ps and H-group	o in childr	en below 6 months

Parameters	SARS-CoV-2 (n=154)	Influenza A (n=22)	RSV (n=51)	H-Group (<i>n</i> = 8)	F/H	<i>p</i> -value
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	7.65±2.79 ^b	7.37±2.95	9.14±2.75	7.58±1.02	15.159	0.002**
NEU (10 ⁹ /L)	1.59	1.16	1.93	1.42 ± 0.52	13.464	0.004**
	(0.94,1.62)	(0.63,1.79)	(1.48,2.54)			
LYM (10 ⁹ /L)	4.50±2.45 ^b	5.16 ± 2.22	5.59 ± 1.6	5.38 ± 0.99	13.804	0.003**
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	3.89±0.58	4.08±0.62	3.84 ± 0.4	4.33 ± 0.5	2.634	0.051
Hb (g/L)	114.83±15.95	112.86±12.96	112.43±14.33	115.38±9.23	1.094	0.779
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	306.66±96.18 ^{bc}	327.27±87.68	438.77±141.01	485.50±122.37	45.720	0.000**
NLR	0.37	0.18	0.35	0.27±0.11	9.067	0.028*
	(0.18,1.05) ^a	(0.13,0.33)	(0.23,0.45)			
LMR	5.37	9.91±5.79	8.13±4.11	13.13±3.87	22.926	0.000**
	(2.64,9.51) ^{abc}					
LYM*PLT	1408.8±951.54 ^{bc}	1807.15±1098.43	2529.28±1282.11	2585.89 ± 656.67	40.855	0.000**
MPV/PLT	0.031	0.031	0.022	0.0118 ± 0.005	43.944	0.000**
	(0.024,0.041) ^{bc}	(0.024,0.035)	(0.016,0.028)			

Abbreviations: WBC: White blood cell count, NEU: Neutrophil count, LYM: Lymphocyte count, RBC: Red blood cell count, Hb: Hemoglobin, PLT: Platelet count, NLR: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, LMR: Lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, LYM*PLT: Lymphocyte* platelet, MPV/PLT: Mean platelet volume/platelet ratio. F/H: ANOVA test F value or Kruskal-Wallis H value.

^aCompared with the Influenza A group, P < 0.05

^bCompared with the RSV group, *P*<0.05

^cCompared with the H group, P<0.05

The absence of letter superscripts ^{abc} in routine blood parameter data indicates that there were no significant differences in post-hoc comparisons among the groups. For instance, the neutrophil count data in the table, without letter superscripts, indicate that the p-values for the comparisons between SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza A, SARS-CoV-2 and RSV, and SARS-CoV-2 and H-Group were all above 0.05. This suggests that there is no significant difference between the groups.

P < 0.05 *. P < 0.01 **

Table 3 Hematological parameters in infection groups and H-group in children aged between 6 to 24 months

Parameters	SARS-Cov-2	Influenza A	RSV	H-Group	F/H	<i>p</i> -Value
	(<i>n</i> =95)	(<i>n</i> = 110)	(<i>n</i> = 140)	(n=83)		
WBC (109/L)	7.43±2.97 ^b	7.18±2.6	9.1±2.93	8.06±1.2	36.036	0.000**
NEU (10 ⁹ /L)	2.45 ± 1.71	1.98 ± 1.5	2.57 ± 1.4	1.76±0.62	22.312	0.000**
LYM (10 ⁹ /L)	4.29 ± 2.28^{bc}	4.45 ± 2.43	5.52 ± 2.19	5.43 ± 1.09	28.569	0.000**
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	4.48 ± 0.38 bc	4.52 ± 0.34	4.64 ± 0.37	4.62±0.31	5.320	0.001**
Hb (g/L)	116.98 ± 10.07	118.47 ± 9.3	118.27±9.84	119.99±8.99	6.126	0.106
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	261.96±87.49 ^{bc}	247.63±80.08	339.87±91.11	337.58 ± 78.03	95.016	0.000**
NLR	0.52	0.32	0.41	0.35 ± 0.16	15.922	0.001**
	(0.25,0.86) ^c	(0.14,1.06)	(0.28,0.69)			
LMR	8.31 ± 5.2 ^c	9.52±6.75	7.64	11.57±3.82	32.768	0.000**
			(5.30,10.49)			
LYM*PLT	1199.91±848.8 ^{bc}	1177.63±927.92	1935.77±1046.08	1849.68±629.7	73.001	0.000**
MPV/PLT	0.039 ± 0.016 bc	0.041 ± 0.015	0.029 ± 0.009	0.028 ± 0.008	90.864	0.000**

Abbreviations: WBC: White blood cell count, NEU: Neutrophil count, LYM: Lymphocyte count, RBC: Red blood cell count, Hb: Hemoglobin, PLT: Platelet count, NLR: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, LMR: Lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, LYM*PLT: Lymphocyte* platelet, MPV/PLT: Mean platelet volume/platelet ratio. F/H: ANOVA test F value or Kruskal-Wallis H value.

^bCompared with the RSV group, P<0.05

^cCompared with the H group, P<0.05

The absence of letter superscripts ^{abc} in routine blood parameter data indicates that there were no significant differences in post-hoc comparisons among the groups. For instance, the neutrophil count data in the table, without letter superscripts, indicate that the p-values for the comparisons between SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza A, SARS-CoV-2 and RSV, and SARS-CoV-2 and H-Group were all above 0.05. This suggests that there is no significant difference between the groups. P < 0.05 *. P < 0.01 **

and MPV/PLT levels also differed significantly between the SARS-CoV-2 and the healthy control groups (Table 4, Supplemental Fig. 1). In the above 6-year subgroup, a statistically significant difference was noted in the WBC, Neutrophil, PLT, NLR, and MPV/PLT levels between the SARS-CoV-2 and the influenza A groups, while a statistically significant difference was noted in the RBC count between the SARS-CoV-2 and the RSV groups. Moreover, a statistically significant difference was noted in the LMR, LYM*PLT, MPV/PLT, PLT, and LYM levels between the SARS-CoV-2 and H-group (Table 5, Supplemental Fig. 1).

	Table 4 Hematological	parameters in infection of	groups and H-grou	p in children aged betwee	n 2 to 6 years
--	-------------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------	----------------

Parameters	SARS-Cov-2 (<i>n</i> =66)	Influenza A (n=297)	RSV (<i>n</i> =202)	H-Group (<i>n</i> = 100)	F/H	<i>p</i> -Value
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	6.82 ± 3.01^{a}	5.41 ± 2.1	6.99±2.31	6.81±1.23	88.778	0.000**
NEU (10 ⁹ /L)	3.03 ± 2.11	2.44 ± 1.82	3.05 ± 1.84	2.42 ± 0.84	23.140	0.000**
LYM (10 ⁹ /L)	2.58±1.31 ^{bc}	2.37 ± 1.14	3.15 ± 1.22	3.72 ± 0.9	108.943	0.000**
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	4.48 ± 0.36	4.49±0.31	4.47±0.31	4.58±0.29	10.803	0.013*
Hb (g/L)	122.49 ± 7.97	123.82±7.93	121.75±7.4	125.3±7.46	16.708	0.001**
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	229.22±57.49 ^{abc}	205.98 ± 56.66	257.71±58.96	301.51±68.08	164.438	0.000**
NLR	1.04 (0.58,1.84) ^c	0.83 (0.35,1.98)	0.91 (0.52,1.47)	0.63 (0.47,0.85)	17.281	0.001**
LMR	5.00±3.94 ^{abc}	5.46 (2.95,8.34)	6.17±3.34	8.83 ± 3.05	66.021	0.000**
LYM*PLT	602.07±370.23 ^{bc}	498.06±304.63	830.84±419.56	1130.76±393.6	192.048	0.000**
MPV/PLT	0.041 (0.035.0.051) ^{abc}	0.049±0.016	0.038±0.011	0.031 ± 0.010	154.539	0.000**

Abbreviations: WBC: White blood cell count, NEU: Neutrophil count, LYM: Lymphocyte count, RBC: Red blood cell count, Hb: Hemoglobin, PLT: Platelet count, NLR: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, LMR: Lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, LYM*PLT: Lymphocyte* platelet, MPV/PLT: Mean platelet volume/platelet ratio. F/H: ANOVA test F value or Kruskal-Wallis H value.

^aCompared with the Influenza A group, P<0.05

^bCompared with the RSV group, *P*<0.05

^cCompared with the H group, *P*<0.05

The absence of letter superscripts ^{abc} in routine blood parameter data indicates that there were no significant differences in post-hoc comparisons among the groups. For instance, the neutrophil count data in the table, without letter superscripts, indicate that the ρ -values for the comparisons between SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza A, SARS-CoV-2 and RSV, and SARS-CoV-2 and H-Group were all above 0.05. This suggests that there is no significant difference between the groups. $\rho < 0.05 *$. P < 0.01 **

Table 5	Hematological	parameters in	infection	groups ar	nd H-grou	p in childrer	n aged be	tween 6 t	0 18	years

Parameters	SARS-Cov-2 (n=36)	Influenza A (n=242)	RSV (n=5)	H-Group (n=52)	F/H	<i>p</i> -Value
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	6.23 ± 2.54^{a}	4.54±1.91	7.65±1.27	6.98±1.32	77.101	0.000**
NEU (10 ⁹ /L)	3.68 ± 2.36^{a}	2.36±1.91	3.62±0.99	3.44±1.09	45.048	0.000**
LYM (10 ⁹ /L)	$1.87 \pm 1.07^{\circ}$	1.73±0.83	3.22±1.12	2.88±0.7	67.298	0.000**
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	4.70 ± 0.45^{b}	4.58±0.35	4.27±0.22	4.66±0.38	2.957	0.033*
Hb (g/L)	131.18±11.15	129.86±9.05	122.6±5.94	129.29±10.28	1.275	0.283
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	231.03 ± 59.46 ^{ac}	201.24±57.49	285.4±30.5	286.9±50.64	81.622	0.000**
NLR	1.65 (1.03,3.37) ^a	0.90 (0.52,2.29)	1.25±0.61	1.09 (0.88,1.52)	11.088	0.011**
LMR	4.01 ± 2.25 ^c	5.32 ± 3.42	5.94±3.64	7.21±2.31	32.415	0.000**
LYM*PLT	460.31±315.52 ^c	325.62 (182.79,474.16)	917.99±326.24	835.38±272.51	94.392	0.000**
MPV/PLT	0.045 ± 0.018^{ac}	0.049 (0.040,0.061)	0.035 ± 0.006	0.032 (0.027,0.038)	75.164	0.000**

Abbreviations: WBC: White blood cell count, NEU: Neutrophil count, LYM: Lymphocyte count, RBC: Red blood cell count, Hb: Hemoglobin, PLT: Platelet count, NLR: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, LMR: Lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, LYM*PLT: Lymphocyte* platelet, MPV/PLT: Mean platelet volume/platelet ratio. F/H: ANOVA test F value or Kruskal-Wallis H value.

^aCompared with the Influenza A group, P < 0.05

^bCompared with the RSV group, *P* < 0.05

^cCompared with the H group, *P*<0.05

The absence of letter superscripts ^{abc} in routine blood parameter data indicates that there were no significant differences in post-hoc comparisons among the groups. For instance, the neutrophil count data in the table, without letter superscripts, indicate that except for the SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza A group, the ρ -values for the comparisons between SARS-CoV-2 and RSV, and SARS-CoV-2 and H-Group were all above 0.05. This suggests that there is no significant difference between these groups.

P < 0.05 *. P < 0.01 **

Diagnostic value of the routine blood parameters for distinguishing between the infected and healthy children The AUC values of the different blood parameters for the four age groups are summarized in Tables 6, 7, 8 and 9. For children below 6 months, the LMR, LYM*PLT, MPV/PLT, and PLT levels had an excellent diagnostic value (AUC above 0.8) to distinguish between the SARS-CoV-2 and H-group (Table 6). The LYM*PLT, MPV/PLT,

H-group in children below 6 months of age					
	AUC (95%CI)	Sensi- tivity (%)	Speci- ficity (%)	Cut-off	
SARS-CoV-2 vs. H-Group					
LMR	0.860(0.797-0.909)	69.5	100.0	8.58	
LYM*PLT	0.860(0.796-0.909)	70.8	100.0	1742.3	
MPV/PLT	0.911(0.856-0.950)	77.3	100.0	0.0235	
Platelet count	0.881(0.821-0.927)	72.1	100.0	369*10 ⁹ /L	
SARS-CoV-2 vs. Influenza A					
NLR	0.662(0.587-0.731)	55.2	77.3	0.312	
LMR	0.678(0.604-0.746)	44.8	86.4	4.52	
SARS-Cov-2 vs. RSV					
LYM*PLT	0.767(0.703-0.823)	66.9	74.5	1642.2	
MPV/*PLT	0.760(0.696-0.817)	51.9	88.2	0.0306	
Platelet count	0.778(0.715-0.833)	57.8	86.3	315*10 ⁹ /L	

Table 6 The highest AUROC between infection groups and

Table 7	The highest AUROC between infection groups and
H-group	in children aged 6 to 24 months

	AUC (95%Cl)	Sensi- tivity (%)	Speci- ficity (%)	Cut-off
SARS-Cov-2 vs.				
H-Group				
LMR	0.695(0.622-0.762)	43.2	97.6	6.09
LYM*PLT	0.744(0.673–0.806)	61.1	85.5	1246.3
MPV/PLT	0.752(0.681-0.813)	61.1	79.5	0.0325
Platelet count	0.749(0.679–0.811)	47.4	92.8	242*10 ⁹ /L
SARS-Cov-2 vs.				
Influenza A				
NLR	0.564(0.493-0.633)	66.3	50.9	0.3245
Neutrophil count	0.582(0.511-0.650)	51.6	66.4	2.08*10 ⁹ /L
SARS-Cov-2 vs. RSV				
LYM*PLT	0.715(0.652-0.772)	40.0	93.6	702
MPV/*PLT	0.720(0.658–0.777)	66.3	68.6	0.031
Platelet count	0.736(0.674–0.791)	63.2	76.4	279*10 ⁹ /L

and PLT levels had an adequate diagnostic value in distinguishing between SARS-CoV-2 and RSV, whereas the NLR and LMR values exhibited acceptable diagnostic value (AUC>0.6) in differentiating between SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A.

For the 6 to 24-month subgroup, the LYM*PLT, MPV/ PLT, and PLT levels had an adequate diagnostic value (AUC 0.7 to 0.9) in distinguishing between the SARS-CoV-2, the healthy and RSV groups. However, the NLR and LMR parameters had a poor diagnostic value in distinguishing between SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A (AUC<0.6) (Table 7).

For children aged between 2 and 6 years, the LYM*PLT, MPV/PLT, LYM, and PLT levels had an excellent diagnostic value (AUC 0.7 to 0.9) to distinguish between the SARS-CoV-2, RVS, and the healthy controls groups

Table 8	The highest AUROC between infection groups an	۱d
H-group	in children aged 2 to 6 years	

	AUC (95%Cl)	Sensi- tivity (%)	Speci- ficity (%)	Cut-off
SARS-Cov-2 vs.				
	0942 (0740 0011)	77 0	077	10120
	0.042 (0.749-0.911)	//.0	02.7	4.9130
LYM*PLI	0.810 (0./12-0.886)	69.4	86.5	601.6
MPV/PLT	0.733 (0.628–0.821)	50.0	84.6	0.0406
Lymphocyte count	0.767 (0.665–0.850)	61.1	88.5	2.1*10 ⁹ /L
Platelet count	0.752 (0.648–0.838)	66.7	71.2	258*10 ⁹ /L
SARS-Cov-2 vs.				
Influenza A				
WBC count	0.697 (0.639–0.750)	77.8	63.6	4.61*10 ⁹ /L
Platelet count	0.655 (0.596–0.711)	47.2	83.1	244*10 ⁹ /L
MPV/PLT	0.662 (0.550-0.668)	69.4	68.2	0.0428
LMR	0.610 (0.550–0.668)	77.8	50.8	4.9138
SARS-Cov-2 vs. RSV				
LYM*PLT	0.839 (0.691–0.935)	63.9	100	490.68
Lymphocyte count	0.794 (0.640–0.904)	61.1	100	2.1*10 ⁹ /L

 Table 9
 The highest AUROC between infection groups and

 H-group in children aged 6 to 18 years

	AUC (95%Cl)	Sensi- tivity (%)	Speci- ficity (%)	Cut-off
SARS-Cov-2 vs.				
H-Group				
LMR	0.813 (0.746–0.870)	84.8	73.0	7.3286
LYM*PLT	0.844 (0.779–0.895)	66.7	90.0	630.72
Platelet count	0.793 (0.724–0.852)	77.3	76.0	260*10 ⁹ /L
SARS-Cov-2 vs.				
Influenza A				
WBC count	0.639 (0.587–0.689)	69.7	51.2	5.13*10 ⁹ /L
Neutrophil count	0.593 (0.540–0.644)	56.1	62.6	2.57*10 ⁹ /L
Platelet count	0.625 (0.573–0.675)	63.6	59.9	209*10 ⁹ /L
MPV/PLT	0.613 (0.561–0.663)	60.6	63.3	0.0429
SARS-Cov-2 vs.				
RSV				
RBC count	0.836 (0.687–0.933)	66.7	100.0	4.51*10 ¹² /L

(Table 8). The WBC, LMR, PLT, and MPV/PLT levels had an acceptable diagnostic value (AUC>0.6) for distinguishing between SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A.

For the 6 to 18 years age group, the LMR, LYM*PLT, and PLT levels had an excellent diagnostic value (AUC 0.7 to 0.9) for distinguishing between SARS-CoV-2 and the healthy control groups, while the RBC count had an excellent diagnostic value (AUC>0.8) for distinguishing between the SARS-CoV-2 and RSV (Table 9). The WBC, PLT, and MPV/PLT levels had an acceptable diagnostic value (AUC>0.6) for distinguishing between SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A.

Discussion

Antigen and antibody detection and RT-PCR are the primary methods used to detect viral nucleic acid. However, not all hospitals have the equipment and personnel to perform RT-PCR, while antigen and antibody testing lack the sensitivity and specificity to distinguish between viral infections. Routine blood parameters could be used to distinguish between the different viruses. Although several studies have shown that routine blood parameters could be used to facilitate differential diagnosis in adults, the number of studies undertaken in the pediatric population remains limited [2, 14, 18].

Viruses are known to exhibit seasonal outbreak patterns. Prior to the advent of the COVID-19 pandemic, influenza epidemics in southern China usually occurred simultaneously in summer and winter, with a notable peak in summer [19]. On the other hand, RSV infections tended to occur between November and February [20]. In our study, we noted that following the COVID-19 outbreak, there has been a surge in the dissemination of the three viruses, particularly during the months of December 2022 and May 2023. However, the prevalence of the different infections varied between different age groups. Patients under 6 months of age were more likely to be hospitalized for SARS-CoV-2.

The assessment of blood parameters is of significant importance in the diagnosis of COVID-19 and the determination of patient prognosis. Although lymphopenia, leukopenia, neutropenia, and thrombocytopenia were reported in adults and children with COVID-19, these conditions tended to be less prevalent in children [21]. In our study, we compared the blood parameters in children who got infected with SARS-CoV-2 with those of healthy children from different age groups. Although no significant difference was found in the neutrophil count between the SARS-CoV-2 patients and the healthy children for all age groups, children older than 6 months had significantly lower LYM counts. Moreover, irrespective of the age group, significantly lower levels were noted for the MPV/PLT, LMR, and LYM*PLT between the SARS-CoV-2 patients and the healthy controls.

Although numerous studies have shown the strong predictive value of NLR [18], LMR [22], MPV/PLT [23], and LYM*PLT [23] parameters in the differential diagnosis of Covid-19 and forecasting the severity of COVID-19 in adults, the investigation of these parameters in children remains scarce. In our study, we assessed whether these blood parameters could be used to distinguish between children afflicted with SARS-Cov-2, RSV, and influenza A and healthy children. The LMR and LYM*PLT parameters can be readily derived from the whole blood count and are frequently employed as indicators of inflammation [24, 25]. Research has demonstrated that patients hospitalized for severe COVID-19 tend to suffer from lymphopenia and mononucleosis. These conditions tend to decrease the LMR and LYM count. Moreover, compared to healthy children, those with COVID-19 tended to have a significantly lower PLT count [21]. As a result, consistent with previous studies, the children with COVID-19 in our study tended to have a low LYM*PLT. Furthermore, the LYM*PLT levels also showed a good diagnostic value in distinguishing between SARS-CoV-2 and RSV-infected patients for all age groups. Research by Dejan Dobrijević et al. [26] indicates that platelet indices like mean platelet volume (MPV) and platelet distribution width (PDW) are independent predictors for COVID-19-positive children. This highlights the diagnostic significance of platelet indices in identifying and diagnosing COVID-19 in children. Our study further supported that MPV/PLT ratios exhibited notable distinctions between the SARS-CoV-2 and RSV groups within the 0-6 age bracket. Particularly, MPV/PLT levels were markedly higher in COVID-19-positive children compared to those with RSV, particularly in children under 2 years old, suggesting the potential of MPV/PLT as a tool for distinguishing between SARS-CoV-2 and RSV infections for diagnostic purposes. This disparity may be linked to increased secretion of proinflammatory cytokines and heightened platelet activation due to COVID-19 infection [26]. Our study findings indicate that the LMR, LYM*PLT, and PLT levels can differentiate between patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 and those who are healthy, regardless of age. However, these biomarkers are not effective in distinguishing between SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A infections.On the other hand, the RBC count showed excellent diagnostic value for distinguishing between SARS-CoV-2 and RSV infections in children above 6 years.

It is essential to recognize the presence of various limitations in this study. The limited sample size and the predominantly Zhejiang-based patient population included in this study can potentially restrict the applicability of our research findings to a broader context. We did not consider hospitalization caused by other infections common in children, such as rhinovirus and Mycoplasma pneumoniae. Therefore, further research with a larger sample and a more diverse patient cohort is recommended to enhance the generalizability of our research findings.

Research findings indicate the potential advantages of integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the forecasting of COVID-19 consequences. AI's ability to analyze data, recognize patterns, and process datasets may bolster the accuracy of risk evaluations. Amid the COVID-19 crisis, successful initiatives have employed automated machine learning to distinguish between influenza virus infections and SARS-CoV-2 [27], as well as promptly identifying COVID-19 in children [28, 29]. Advances have also been made in utilizing decision tree models based on hemogram outcomes to distinguish between RSV and COVID-19 in infants [30]. However, most of the above-mentioned AI model research was conducted in Europe, and its applicability to Asians is limited. We can use machine learning or other AI models to gain an in-depth understanding of the performance of the developed model on a global scale and understand its performance better. This technological integration can provide personalized risk predictions, guide targeted interventions, and revolutionize the management of SARS-CoV-2, Influenza, and RSV patients. This will also be one of the directions of our future research.

Conclusion

COVID-19, influenza A, and RSV can cause various changes in peripheral blood parameters. Depending on the age group, several blood parameters, including LMR, LYM, LYM*PLT, MPV/PLT, WBC count, lymphocyte count, platelet count, and RBC count could be used to distinguish between COVID-19, influenza A, and RSV, with varying diagnostic efficacy. Our findings have the potential to assist clinicians in the early differentiation of these three diseases, thus facilitating prompt diagnosis and isolation. These blood parameters could also be used as a rapid screening tool in community hospitals and low- and middle-income countries where viral nucleic acid testing is limited.

Abbreviations

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2
respiratory syncytial virus
Area under the curve
White blood cell count
Neutrophil count
Lymphocyte count
Red blood cell count
Hemoglobin
Platelet count
Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio
Lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio
Lymphocyte* platelet
Mean platelet volume/platelet ratio
Confidence interval

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12887-024-04822-y.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

We thank all participants, study staffs, and the physicians at the Hangzhou Children's Hospital.

Author contributions

Longli Huang conceptualized and designed the study, drafted the initial manuscript, and reviewed and revised the manuscript. Cuiying Ye and Renxi Zhou were responsible for designing the data collection instruments and coordinating sample collection. Zexuan Ji designed the study and also

Page 9 of 10

reviewed and revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study has no funding sources.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Prior to the commencement of data collection, ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Hangzhou Children's Hospital (approval no. 2023-071-01). As the study solely relied on retrospective data from patient electronic medical records, obtaining informed consent from all patients was not feasible. However, a waiver of informed consent was formally granted by the Ethics Committee of Hangzhou Children's Hospital. The data collected was kept confidential and used exclusively for the purposes of this study. All research techniques employed in this study adhered to the principles set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Hangzhou Children's Hospital, 201 Wenhui Rd, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Received: 14 November 2023 / Accepted: 8 May 2024 Published online: 13 May 2024

References

- Wang L, Davis PB, Berger NA, Kaelber DC, Volkow ND, Xu R. (2023) Disrupted seasonality and association of COVID-19 with medically attended respiratory syncytial virus infections among young children in the US: January 2010– January 2023. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.05.12.23289898.
- Zhu R, Wang Q, Chen C, Zhang X, Lu C, Sun Y. (2020) Routine blood parameters can detect early influenza virus infection in children. https://doi. org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-54705/v1.
- 3. Bergeron HC, Tripp RA. (2021) Immunopathology of RSV: An Updated Review.
- Bernstein DI, Mejias A, Rath B, Woods CW, Deeter JP. Summarizing study characteristics and diagnostic performance of commercially available tests for respiratory Syncytial Virus: a scoping literature review in the COVID-19 era. J Appl Lab Med. 2023;8:353–71.
- Liu R, Han H, Liu F, Lv Z, Wu K, Liu Y, Feng Y, Zhu C. Positive rate of RT-PCR detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection in 4880 cases from one hospital in Wuhan, China, from Jan to Feb 2020. Clin Chim Acta. 2020;505:172–5.
- Panning M, Eickmann M, Landt O, Monazahian M, Ölschläger S, Baumgarte S, Reischl U, Wenzel JJ, Niller HH, Günther S. Detection of influenza A (H1N1) v virus by real-time RT-PCR. Eurosurveillance. 2009;14:19329.
- Kuypers J, Wright N, Morrow R. Evaluation of quantitative and type-specific real-time RT-PCR assays for detection of respiratory syncytial virus in respiratory specimens from children. J Clin Virol. 2004;31:123–9.
- 8. Organization WH. Antigen-detection in the diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection: interim guidance, 6 October 2021. World Health Organization; 2021.
- Drexler JF, Helmer A, Kirberg H, Reber U, Panning M, Müller M, Höfling K, Matz B, Drosten C, Eis-Hübinger AM. Poor clinical sensitivity of rapid antigen test for influenza A pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009;15:1662.
- 10. Henrickson KJ, Hall CB. Diagnostic assays for respiratory syncytial virus disease. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2007;26:S36–40.
- Russell CD, Parajuli A, Gale HJ, Bulteel NS, Schuetz P, de Jager CP, Loonen AJ, Merekoulias GI, Baillie JK. The utility of peripheral blood leucocyte ratios as biomarkers in infectious diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Infect. 2019;78:339–48.

- Stojkovic Lalosevic M, Pavlovic Markovic A, Stankovic S, Stojkovic M, Dimitrijevic I, Radoman Vujacic I, Lalic D, Milovanovic T, Dumic I, Krivokapic Z. (2019) Combined diagnostic efficacy of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and mean platelet volume (MPV) as biomarkers of systemic inflammation in the diagnosis of colorectal cancer. Dis. Markers 2019.
- Banon T, Wortsman J, Ben Moshe S, Gazit S, Peretz A, Ben Tov A, Chodick G, Perez G, Patalon T. Evaluating red blood cell distribution width from community blood tests as a predictor of hospitalization and mortality in adults with SARS-CoV-2: a cohort study. Ann Med. 2021;53:1410–8.
- Luo Y, Yuan X, Xue Y, Mao L, Lin Q, Tang G, Song H, Liu W, Hou H, Wang F. Using a diagnostic model based on routine laboratory tests to distinguish patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 from those infected with influenza virus. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;95:436–40.
- Banerjee A, Ray S, Vorselaars B, Kitson J, Mamalakis M, Weeks S, Baker M, Mackenzie LS. Use of machine learning and artificial intelligence to predict SARS-CoV-2 infection from full blood counts in a population. Int Immunopharmacol. 2020;86:106705.
- Hedberg P, Karlsson Valik J, Van Der Werff S, et al. Clinical phenotypes and outcomes of SARS-CoV-2, influenza, RSV and seven other respiratory viruses: a retrospective study using complete hospital data. Thorax. 2022;77:1–10.
- Leila Mohebi H, Karami N, Mirsalehi NH, Ardestani J, Yavarian M, Mard-Soltani T, Mokhatri-Azad V, Salimi. A delayed resurgence of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) during the COVID-19 pandemic: an unpredictable outbreak in a small proportion of children in the southwest of Iran, April 2022. J Med Virol. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.28065.
- Yan X, Li F, Wang X, Yan J, Zhu F, Tang S, Deng Y, Wang H, Chen R, Yu Z. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio as prognostic and predictive factor in patients with coronavirus disease 2019: a retrospective cross-sectional study. J Med Virol. 2020;92:2573–81.
- 19. Shu Y-L, Fang L-Q, De Vlas SJ, Gao Y, Richardus JH, Cao W-C. Dual seasonal patterns for Influenza, China. Emerg Infect Dis. 2010;16:725–6.
- Zhang Y, Yuan L, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Zheng M, Kyaw MH. Burden of respiratory syncytial virus infections in China: systematic review and meta–analysis. J Glob Health. 2015;5:020417.
- Liu L, She J, Bai Y, Liu W. SARS-CoV-2 infection: differences in hematological parameters between adults and children. Int J Gen Med Volume. 2021;14:3035–47.

- 22. Citu C, Gorun F, Motoc A, Sas I, Gorun OM, Burlea B, Tuta-Sas I, Tomescu L, Neamtu R, Malita D. The predictive role of NLR, d-NLR, MLR, and SIRI in COVID-19 mortality. Diagnostics. 2022;12:122.
- Asan A, Üstündağ Y, Koca N, Şimşek A, Sayan HE, Parildar H, Cilo BD, Huysal K. Do initial hematologic indices predict the severity of COVID-19 patients? Turk J Med Sci. 2021;51:39–44.
- 24. Merekoulias G, Alexopoulos EC, Belezos T, Panagiotopoulou E, Jelastopulu E. (2010) Lymphocyte to monocyte ratio as a screening tool for influenza. PLoS Curr 2.
- Fei Y, Zhang H, Zhang C. The application of lymphocyte* platelet and mean platelet volume/platelet ratio in influenza A infection in children. J Clin Lab Anal. 2019;33:e22995.
- Dobrijević D, Antić J, Rakić G, Andrijević L, Katanić J, Pastor K. Could platelet indices have diagnostic properties in children with COVID-19? J Clin Lab Anal. 2022;36:e24749.
- Dobrijević D, Antić J, Rakić G, Katanić J, Andrijević L, Pastor K. Clinical hematochemical parameters in differential diagnosis between pediatric SARS-CoV-2 and influenza virus infection: an automated machine learning approach. Children. 2023;10:761.
- Chadaga K, Chakraborty C, Prabhu S, Umakanth S, Bhat V, Sampathila N. Clinical and laboratory approach to diagnose COVID-19 using machine learning. Interdiscip Sci Comput Life Sci. 2022;14:452–70.
- Dobrijević D, Vilotijević-Dautović G, Katanić J, Horvat M, Horvat Z, Pastor K. Rapid triage of children with suspected COVID-19 using laboratory-based machine-learning algorithms. Viruses. 2023;15:1522.
- Dobrijević D, Andrijević L, Antić J, Rakić G, Pastor K. Hemogram-based decision tree models for discriminating COVID-19 from RSV in infants. J Clin Lab Anal. 2023;37:e24862.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.