# RESEARCH



# Gurka vs Slaughter equations to estimate the fat percentage in children with cerebral palsy from all subtypes and levels of the Gross Motor Function Classification System

Jorge A. García-Íñiguez<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrea A. García-Contreras<sup>1\*</sup>, Edgar M. Vásquez-Garibay<sup>1</sup> and Alfredo Larrosa-Haro<sup>1</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** Body composition assessment in children with cerebral palsy (CP) is a challenge, specially the fat percentage. There are different methods that can be used to estimate the fat percentage in this population, such as anthropometric equations, but there is still a need to determine which is the best and most accurate. The purpose of the study was to determine the method that best estimates the fat percentage in children from all CP subtypes and levels of the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS).

**Methods** Analytical cross-sectional study in which 108 children with CP diagnosed by a pediatric neurologist were included with any type of dysfunction and from all levels of the GFMCS. Slaughter equation, Gurka equation and Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) as reference method, were used. Groups were stratified by sex, CP subtypes, GMFCS level and Tanner stage. Median differences, Kruskal–Wallis, Mann–Whitney U test, Spearman's correlation coefficients and simple regressions were used, also multivariate models were performed.

**Results** The Slaughter equation differed from the other methods in the total population and when it was compared by sex, CP subtypes, gross motor function and Tanner stage. The Gurka equation showed significant differences by sex and gross motor function. Gurka equation correlated positively and significantly with BIA to estimate the fat percentage in all the CP subtypes and levels of the GMFCS. Tricipital skinfold (TSF), arm fat area (AFA) and weight for age index (W/A) showed the highest variability with respect to fat percentage.

**Conclusion** Gurka equation is more appropriate and accurate than Slaughter equation to estimate the fat percentage in children with CP from all subtypes and levels of the GMFCS.

**Keywords** Cerebral palsy, Children, Fat percentage, Slaughter equation, Gurka equation, Bioelectrical impedance analysis

# \*Correspondence:

Andrea A. García-Contreras

andrea.garcia@academicos.udg.mx

 <sup>1</sup> Instituto de Nutrición Humana, Centro Universitario de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad de Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Jalisco, México 44340
<sup>2</sup> Escuela de Nutrición, Universidad Autónoma de Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Jalisco, México 45129

# Background

The assessment of nutritional status in children with cerebral palsy (CP) is not simple [1]. Therefore, the measurement and evaluation of body composition should be considered an essential and routine indicator in the evaluation, assessment, and nutritional intervention of these children [2–6]. Children with CP, especially those with a more serious condition, such as spastic quadriplegia, often



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

have protein-energy malnutrition [7], which can lead to changes in body composition; for example, decreased lean mass (LM), fat mass (FM), and fat percentage, increase in total body water (TBW), and changes in bone mineral density (BMD) [8-10]. There are different methods of measuring and estimating body composition in children with CP; however, there is no consensus that specifies which method is the best of them [11, 12]. Fat percentage is the most clinically applicable measurement for assessing nutritional status [13]. In boys, a low fat percentage is considered to be  $\leq 10\%$ , normal is from 11 to 25% and high is > 25%, while in girls, <15% is considered low, normal is from 16 to 30% and high is>30% [14]. Dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is a reliable method for accurately estimating FM, LM, fat percentage and bone mass (BM) in children [15–17] and adults [18]; however, it is expensive, requires specialized equipment, and emits low levels of radiation [8, 19–21]. Doubly labeled water (DLW) has been used to assess fat mass in children with CP, and similar to DXA, it is a method that requires specialized equipment, is timeconsuming to perform and is commonly used for investigation purposes and in research centers [8, 21]. Two methods that are less expensive and relatively easy to perform, and that can provide valid and reliable measurements for body composition assessment and fat percentage specifically are bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) and anthropometry (with two and four skinfolds) [9, 19]. BIA is a fast, noninvasive, portable method that is increasingly used in clinical settings and in studies in children [4, 11, 19, 20]. From a clinical and practical point of view, anthropometry is the most widely used method for estimating body composition since it requires only a skinfold caliper, previously standardized personnel, and the cooperation of the patient [9, 22]. However, there is concern that these methods may not be reliable in children with CP. On the one hand, the hydroelectrolytic status of these children can influence the results obtained by BIA [19]. On the other hand, the known alterations in body composition in children with CP, such as the increase in total body water and the decrease in LM, FM and BMD, indicate that the Slaughter equation underestimates the fat percentage [8]. Although this equation is commonly used in clinical and research settings to estimate body fat percentage in healthy populations, it has been analyzed in children with neurological damage [23]. Gurka et al. [8] published a correction factor that improves the validity of the Slaughter's equations, and some authors recommend the use of the correction factor for children with CP [21]. ESPGHAN guidelines highlight the importance of the routine use of skinfold thickness measurements with the calculation of fat percentages using this equation [12]. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to determine the anthropometric method that best estimates

the fat percentage in children with CP from all subtypes and levels of the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS).

# Materials and methods

In an analytical cross-sectional design study, 108 participants (53 girls and 55 boys) aged 24 months to 16 years 9 months (7 y 9 m  $\pm$  4 y 3 m) were included. The participants had CP with any subtypes, and their gross motor function scale level was diagnosed and classified by a pediatric neurologist who attended the pediatric outpatient clinic of the Hospital Civil de Guadalajara "Dr. Juan I. Menchaca". The participants were fed orally or by gastrostomy feeding tubes according to oral tolerance and severity of malnutrition. The sample size was obtained from a previous study on the nutritional status of children with CP and estimated with a confidence level of 95% ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) [24]. Patients with diagnoses unrelated to CP (Down syndrome, autism, degenerative disorders), those receiving medications that could alter body composition (steroids, thyroxine, antiretroviral drugs) and/or those with CP of postnatal origin (traumatic injuries, accidents, tumors, other injuries) were excluded.

### Anthropometry

All measurements were obtained by two previously standardized observers. Weight was obtained with a clean diaper and as little clothing as possible; and a SECA scale (Model 700 Hamburg Germany) with a precision of 50 g was used. The child was weighed in the arms of a family member or an observer, and then only the adult was weighed. The result was obtained by calculating the difference between the weight obtained with the family member and the child, minus the weight of the family member alone. Height (cm) was obtained using leg length (LL) as an alternative height measurement with the following equation:  $[(3.26 \times LL) + 30.8]$ . The measurement of leg length was conducted using a tape measure (Seca, 206, Hamburg, Germany), according to the technique of Stevenson [25] (1995), and the final value was obtained by averaging the two measurements. Mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) was measured with a flexible tape (Seca, 206, Hamburg, Germany) at the midpoint of arm length from acromion to olecranon in the left arm. The tricipital skinfold (TSF) was measured with a Lange caliper (Cambridge, Maryland) on the postero-medial part of the left arm at the same point as the MUAC. With the separation of the skin and adipose tissue and the placement of the forceps in the fold one centimeter above the marked point, three measurements were taken per observer and the average was obtained. The subscapular skinfold (SSF) was located at the lower angle of the left scapula where a mark was made; the skin and adipose tissue were taken

one centimeter below and diagonally to the mark; the tips of the caliper were placed and after taking the measurement three times, the average was obtained.

The fat percentage was estimated by means of the equations of Slaughter (1988) [26]; when the sum of TSF and SSF was < 35 mm:

- Boys: %fat = 1.21 ( $\Sigma$  two folds) 0.008 ( $\Sigma$  two folds)2-1.7
- Girls: %fat = 1.33 (∑ two folds) 0.013 (∑ two folds)2-2.5

If the sum of TSF and SSF was > 35 mm:

- Boys: %fat = 0.783 ( $\Sigma$  two folds) + 1.6
- Girls: %fat = 0.546 ( $\Sigma$  two folds) + 9.7

The correction factor proposed by Gurka et al. (2010) [8] (Table 1) for the Slaughter equation [26] (1988) was calculated. Pubertal stage was determined by the Tanner classification (Tanner, 1962) [27] by a pediatrician with the mother's permission. Stages I and II were considered prepubertal, stage III pubertal, and stage IV and V postpubertal according to the Gurka's classification (Gurka, 2010) [8].

#### **Bioelectric impedance analysis (BIA)**

Bioelectrical impedance was performed with Quadscan 4000 equipment (Body Stat Limited, England). After a previous fast of three hours, two electrodes (pediatric) were placed on the back of the hand (at the level of the wrist and metacarpus) and two on the back of the foot (metatarsal and ankle). Metallic objects that could interfere with the measurement were removed, and the procedure was performed at 50 Ohms. The measurement was obtained with the child in the dorsal supine position and as relaxed as possible. The estimation of fat percentage was obtained.

Table 1 Correction factors according to Gurka et al. (2010) [8]

General correction			
Additional correction for:			
Males	-5.0		
More severe GMFCS	+ 5.1		
Black	-3.1		
Pubescent (Tanner stage III)	+ 2.0		
Postpubescent (Tanner stages IV and V)	-4.6		
Sum skin folds (triceps, subscapular) > 35 mm	-3.2		

*GMFCS* Gross Motor Function Classification System. To use these corrections: Add 12.2 to the estimated body fat percentage. If the child with CP is classified within one of the categories, add the respective correction. For example, for an adolescent at GMFCS level 1 whose tricipital and subscapular folds add up to < 35 mm: estimated body fat percentage + 12.2-5.0+2.0

## **Ethical considerations**

The study did not put the study subjects at risk, and it adhered to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and the principles of beneficence, nonmaleficence, justice, and autonomy of decision. The Ethics Committee of the Hospital Civil de Guadalajara "Dr. Juan I. Menchaca" approved the protocol under number No. 1344/14.

# Statistical analysis

For descriptive statistics, medians and standard deviations were used for quantitative variables, and frequencies and percentages were used for qualitative variables. For analytical statistics, median differences, Kruskal– Wallis and Mann–Whitney U tests were performed. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients was used. Simple regressions was used to predict anthropometric variables in which a significant correlation was found with the different methods to estimate the fat percentage. Multivariate models were performed. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 21, and a p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

# Results

Table 2 shows the general characteristics of the participants. It is shown that the percentage of fat estimated with the Gurka equation and with BIA were similar, while this percentage was lower with the Slaughter equation. There was a significant difference when comparing the fat percentages of the total population with the three methods (p < 0.001). When comparing the fat percentage in all subjects between the Slaughter and Gurka equations and between the Slaughter equation and BIA, there was a significant difference (p < 0.001), while the fat percentage was similar between the Gurka equation and BIA. When comparing the fat percentage by sex, there was a significant difference with the Gurka equation (p < 0.001).

Most of the subjects presented spastic CP (73%) and were grouped into levels 4 and 5 according to the GMFCS. Regarding pubertal development, 72% belonged to levels I and II on the Tanner scale (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the fat percentage estimated with anthropometry (Slaughter equation and Gurka equation) [8, 26] and BIA in the total population with spastic CP and with other types of dysfunction. Slaughter equation underestimated the fat percentage in both groups when it was compared to BIA, while Gurka equation was closer to BIA.

The analysis of the fat percentage with each method and the GMFCS levels are presented in Table 5. There was a significant difference when comparing the fat percentages obtained by the three methods in levels 1–3 and levels 4–5. When comparing the percentage of fat

Quantitative variables	All ( <i>n</i> = 108)	Boys ( <i>n</i> = 55)	Girls ( <i>n</i> = 53)	Boys vs. girls p*
Age (months)	80.5 (81.8)	83 (86.0)	80 (87)	0.763
Weight (kg)	15 (9.0)	15.3 (8.6)	13.3 (9.1)	0.178
Height (cm)	103 (26.6)	107 (24.2)	101 (26.9)	0.288
BMI (Z)	-2.3 (3.3)	-1.98 (3.16)	-2.6 (3.3)	0.832
Fat percentage (Slaughter equation)	9.6 (7.3)	9.6 (6.3)	10.0 (7.8)	0.756
Fat percentage (Gurka equation)	23.8 (8.6)	21.9 (6.3)	25.7 (8.3)	< 0.001
Fat percentage (BIA)	27.6 (21.4)	25.8 (22.7)	26.7 (21.7)	0.726

# Table 2 Characteristics of the population

Data are presented as median (interquartile range = percentile 75—25). \*Mann–Whitney U test. All: BIA vs. Gurka *p* > 0.05; BIA vs. Salughter *p* < 0.001; Slaughter vs. Gurka *p* < 0.001

on
OI

Variable	n (%)
Sex	
Female	53 (49)
Male	55 (51)
Pubertal stage	
l and ll	78 (72)
III	18 (17)
IV and V	12 (11)
CP subtype	
Spastic	79 (73)
Ataxic	1 (0.9)
Dyskinetic	3 (2.8)
Hypotonic	11 (10.2)
Mixed	14 (13)
GMFCS	
1	5 (4.6)
2	6 (5.6)
3	2 (1.9)
4	16 (14.8)
5	79 (73.1)

Ta	bl	e4	1	⊦at	pe	erce	en	tac	le	a	CC	0	٢d	in	q	to	tł	٦e	t١	٧Ľ	e	ot	Ċ	lγ	st	u	no	cti	0	n

- - -

between levels 1-3 vs. 4-5, the differences were 0.4% with the Slaughter equation (in favor of levels 1-3), 4.9% with the Gurka equation (significant difference) and 1.4% with BIA (in the latter two in favor of levels 4-5). Slaughter equation underestimated the fat percentage in both groups when it was compared to BIA, while Gurka equation was closer to BIA.

Table 6 shows the correlation coefficients between Gurka vs. BIA and Slaughter vs. BIA. It is shown that the fat percentage estimated by Gurka correlated positively and moderately with BIA in all the CP subtypes and in all levels of the GMFCS. Slaugther equation correlated positively with BIA only in the spastic group and in the GMFCS levels 4–5.

When comparing the fat percentage among the three groups by Tanner stages, both the Gurka equation and BIA indicate that the group with the lowest fat percentage is that of stages IV-V. When performing post hoc tests to compare the fat percentage between the Tanner stages for each method with the total population, there was a significant difference between stages I-II vs. IV-V with the Gurka equation (p = 0.038), between stage III vs. IV-V with the Gurka equation (p = 0.038), between

Type of disfunction	Fat percentage by Slaughter equation	Fat percentage by Gurka equation	Fat percentage by BIA	p*	Median difference (Slaughter—BIA)	Median difference (Gurka—BIA)
Spastic ( $n = 79$ )	10.0 (7.5)	24.0 (7.5)	28.6 (19.4)	< 0.001	-18.6	-4.6
Other** ( <i>n</i> = 29)	9.6 (9.1)	23.5 (11.3)	19.7 (23.7)	< 0.001	-10.1	3.8
Subclassification:						
Ataxic $(n = 1)$	6.2 (0.0)	23.5 (0.0)	21.6 (0.0)	-	-15.4	1.9
Dyskinetic (n = 3)	9.5 (1.5)	26.8 (8.6)	18.9 (14.6)	0.664	-9.4	7.9
Hypotonic ( $n = 11$ )	11.7 (8.5)	27.0 (9.7)	28.5 (24.8)	0.012	-16.8	-1.5
Mixed ( $n = 14$ )	6.1 (8.6)	20.5 (11.9)	15.4 (33.4)	< 0.001	-9.3	5.1

Data are presented as median (interquartile range = percentile 75—25). \*Kruskal–Wallis; \*\*Ataxic, dyskinetic, hypotonic and mixed. Mann–Whitney U test (spastic group): Slaughter vs. Gurka *p* < 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA *p* < 0.001; Gurka vs. BIA *p* = 0.175; "other" group: Slaughter vs. Gurka *p* < 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA *p* < 0.001; Gurka vs. BIA *p* = 0.267

	Fat percentage by Slaughter equation	Fat percentage by Gurka equation	Fat percentage by BIA	p*	Median difference (Slaughter – BIA)	Median difference (Gurka – BIA)
Levels 1–3 ( $n = 13$ )	10.0 (6.6)	19.7 (6.5)	21.6 (18.6)	< 0.001	-11.6	-1.9
Sublcassification:						
Level 1 ( $n = 5$ )	11.7 (4.8)	20.9 (6.3)	32.3 (26.9)	0.059	-20.6	-11.4
Level 2 ( $n = 6$ )	5.6 (7.3)	16.6 (7.5)	19.5 (10.4)	0.011	-13.9	-2.9
Level 3 ( $n = 2$ )	10.6 (3.1)	22.9 (3.2)	39.3 (6.5)	0.102	-28.7	-16.4
Levels 4–5 ( <i>n</i> = 95)	9.6 (7.5)	24.6 (9.1)	28.4 (21.5)	< 0.001	-18.8	-3.8
Subclassification:						
Level 4 ( $n = 16$ )	10.6 (6.6)	26.0 (8.7)	28.9 (24.2)	< 0.001	-18.3	-2.9
Level 5 ( <i>n</i> = 79)	9.6 (7.5)	24.3 (8.1)	27.5 (21.5)	< 0.001	-17.9	-3.2

Data are presented as median (interquartile range = percentile 75—25). \*Kruskal–Wallis; Mann Whitney U test levels 1–3: Slaughter vs. Gurka p < 0.001; Slaughter vs. BlA p = 0.208; levels 4–5: Slaughter vs. Gurka p < 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA q = 0.001; Gurka vs. BIA p = 0.661. \*\*Mann–Whitney U test. Levels 1–3 vs 4–5: Slaughter p = 0.459; Gurka p < 0.001; BIA p = 0.746

Table 6 Correlation coefficients between Gurka vs. BIA and Slaughter vs. BIA

	Gurka vs. BIA	l l		Slaughter vs. BIA					
	r <sup>a</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	p	r <sup>a</sup>	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	p			
CP subtypes									
Spastic	0.387	0.150	< 0.001	0.507	0.257	< 0.001			
Others <sup>b</sup>	0.572	0.327	0.001	0.361	0.130	0.055			
GMFCS									
1-3	0.632	0.399	0.020	0.462	0.213	0.112			
4–5	0.403	0.162	< 0.001	0.455	0.207	< 0.001			

<sup>a</sup> Spearman's rank correlation coefficients <sup>b</sup>Others: ataxic, dyskinetic, hypotonic, and mixed

stages I-II vs. III with BIA (p=0.016) and between stages I-II vs. IV-V with BIA (p=0.007). When comparing the fat percentage between boys and girls, it was significantly higher in girls in stages I-II (p=0.001) and in stage III (p=0.003) according to the Gurka equation (Table 7). Consistent with the criteria of Lohman et al. (1987) [28] with the Slaughter equation, girls and boys had a low fat percentage both in the total population and when separating the population by Tanner stages. While with the Gurka equation, the fat percentage was found to be adequate in boys and girls. With BIA, it was found to be high in boys in stages I and II and adequate in stages III, IV and V; in girls, it was adequate in all stages.

Table 8 shows that 70% of the variability of the fat percentage estimated by the Gurka equation is explained by the TSF, 28% by the MUAC and 67% by the arm fat area. Multivariate models were performed with the same variables; those that entered the model were TSF and MUAC, and the coefficient of determination remained similar to that shown in the table.

## Discussion

In the present study, it was shown that the estimation of body fat percentage differs among the Slaughter equation, the Gurka equation and BIA, but between Gurka and BIA there are no significant differences. The body composition of children with CP is altered, mainly due to lack of mobility [29]. It has been argued that skinfolds are not accurate in children with CP because when they are reduced in the extremities, they do not necessarily reflect low fat stores since fat in this population tends to accumulate centrally [12, 15]. Despite this, ESPGHAN [30] recommends that fat estimation from skinfolds should be performed routinely as a component of nutritional assessment in children with CP. The fat percentage estimated by BIA and the Gurka equation in our population was very similar to that found by Finbranten et al. (2015) [2] with DXA and the Gurka Eq. (26.3% and 23.8% vs. 28.3% and 30.1%, respectively). The fat percentage estimated by the Slaughter equation in children with CP has been used in several studies [8, 31, 32]. Moreover, as shown in the studies carried out by Finbranten et al. (2015) [2] and Sullivan et al.

Pubertal stages	Slaughter equation	Gurka equation	BIA	p*	Median difference (Slaughter – BIA)	Median difference (Gurka – BIA)	
Stages I-II							
Total population							
(n = 78)	9.6 (7.7)	23.8 (7.7)	31.6 (23.4)	< 0.001	-22.0	-7.8	
Boys (n = 42)	9.6 (6.6)	21.9 (6.6)	31.9 (28.7)	< 0.001	-22.3	-10.0	
Girls (n $=$ 36)	9.8 (8.1)	25.7 (8.1)	29.4 (24.2)	< 0.001	-19.6	-3.7	
Stage III							
Total population							
(n = 18)	9.0 (6.0)	24.6 (8.5)	20.3 (12.1)	< 0.001	-11.3	4.3	
Boys $(n=8)$	8.5 (3.7)	22.3 (3.7)	18.4 (11.4)	0.001	-9.9	3.9	
Girls ( $n = 10$ )	10.5 (12.1)	29.8 (12.1)	21.1 (20.0)	0.003	-10.6	8.7	
Stages IV-V							
Total population							
(n = 12)	11.1 (9.0)	19.0 (10.4)	19.6 (17.2)	0.011	-8.5	-0.6	
Boys $(n=5)$	10.6 (12.2)	13.2 (12.2)	20.0 (8.3)	0.002	-9.4	-6.8	
Girls ( $n = 7$ )	11.6 (9.1)	24.3 (9.1)	11.4 (27.3)	0.035	0.2	12.9	

# Table 7 Fat percentage according to pubertal stages (Tanner)

Data are presented as median (interquartile range = percentile 75—25). \*Kruskal–Wallis; Mann–Whitney U test (stages I and II): Slaughter vs. Gurka p < 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA p = 0.013. Stage III Slaughter vs. Gurka p < 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA p = 0.013. Stage III Slaughter vs. Gurka p < 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA p = 0.013. Stage III Slaughter vs. Gurka p < 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA p = 0.013. Stage III Slaughter vs. Gurka p < 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA p = 0.013. Stage III Slaughter vs. Gurka p < 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA p = 0.013. Stage III Slaughter vs. Gurka p = 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA p = 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA p = 0.001; Slaughter vs. BIA p = 0.003; Gurka vs. BIA p = 0.003

**Table 8** Simple regression analysis among anthropometric variables and the fat percentage by the three methods (n = 108)

	Slaughte	r equation		Gurka eq	uation		BIA			
Variables	r	R <sup>2</sup>	p	r	r <sup>2</sup>	p	r	R <sup>2</sup>	p	
TSF (mm)	0.943	0.889	< 0.001	0.833	0.694	< 0.001	0.465	0.216	< 0.001	
MUAC (cm)	0.669	0.448	< 0.001	0.529	0.280	< 0.001	0.261	0.068	< 0.001	
BMI (kg/m²)	0.644	0.415	< 0.001	0.527	0.278	< 0.001	0.358	0.128	< 0.001	
BMI (Z)	0.585	0.342	< 0.001	0.523	0.274	< 0.001	0.470	0.221	< 0.001	
W/A (Z)	0.737	0.543	< 0.001	0.664	0.449	< 0.001	0.367	0.135	0.001	
AFA (cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.907	0.826	< 0.001	0.781	0.670	< 0.001	0.414	0.171	< 0.001	

TSF Triceps skinfold, MUAC Mid-upper arm circumference, BMI Body mass index, W/A Weight for age index, AFA Arm fat area

(2015) [3], this equation underestimates the fat percentage compared to other methods. In our study, the Slaughter equation differed greatly from the other two methods both in the total population and by sex. In a systematic review by Snik et al. (2021) [13], wide limits of agreement (mean difference of -9.6% to -7.1%) were found with the Slaughter equation. It seems that the Gurka equation is more sensitive and accurate for estimating the percentage of fat in boys and girls, since it was the only method that differed between sexes. The estimated fat percentage was higher in the spastic group than in the group with other CP subtypes (ataxic, dyskinetic, hypotonic and mixed). It is important to mention that the Slaughter equation underestimated these findings both in the spastic group and in the group with other CP subtypes with respect to the other two methods. In several studies, the Gurka equation has been shown to adequately estimate the fat percentage in the population with CP [2]. This equation is derived from the Slaughter equation and takes into account a correction factor based on gender, race, pubertal status, and gross motor function [8]. In two studies [2, 28], the fat percentage estimated by this equation was found to have an excellent correlation with that estimated by DXA (r=0.883 and CCC=0.86, respectively). However, a study by Rieken et al. (2010) [22] showed that the Gurka equation is not accurate in estimating the fat percentage in children with CP. This might be because, their population consisted only of children with gross motor function levels 4 and 5, and in both our study and that of Finbranten et al. (2015) [2] and Oeffinger (2013) [31], the

populations were more heterogeneous. BIA is an appropriate method to estimate the fat percentage in children with CP [30] and has been used in some studies [19, 31, 33]. However, BIA has its own limitations, such as the state of hydration, which is very heterogeneous, varies with age and sex and can give a false result depending on the state of dehydration, which is common in children with CP [13]; in addition, it has a very good correlation with DXA, with differences of less than 2% [31]. The percentage of fat in the most affected group (gross motor function levels 4 and 5) was higher with BIA and the Gurka equation than with the Slaughter equation, and it was higher in this group than in the less affected group (levels 1-3). This finding is very similar to that reported by Finbranten (2015) [2], where an altered body composition was reported according to the level of gross motor function. Likewise, the results are similar to those reported by Whitney et al. (2019) [34], where a higher fat percentage, fat mass and fat mass index was observed in the nonambulatory population than in the ambulatory population with CP. These findings are also consistent with the notion that stunted children tend to gain fat and become overweight or obese later in life [35]. In our study, this altered body composition was demonstrated through the Gurka equation, which was the only method that showed significant differences between levels 1-3 vs. 4-5. For this reason, the Gurka equation is a more reliable method to estimate the fat percentage in the most affected levels of the CP severity [34]. Slaughter equation correlated positively and moderately with BIA in the spastic group and in the more affected children; it showed a stronger correlation than Gurka equation in the same groups. This finding could be related to the fact that Gurka equation includes an additional correction for the most affected levels (+5.1), and 87.9% of our sample belonged to levels 4-5. Besides, Slaughter equation only includes the tricipital and subscapular skinfolds which have a good correlation with the fat percentage. This anthropometric equation should be used carefully to estimate the fat percentage only in the more affected groups because it underestimates the fat percentage even more in the most affected levels [8]. In the study by Rieken et al. (2010) [9], the Gurka equation was shown to be more accurate in estimating the fat percentage in the less affected levels of gross motor function (1-2) and less accurate in the most affected (3-5), perhaps because the size of the population in that study was very small [13]. To our knowledge, this is the first study to analyze body fat percentage based on biological maturity, that included children from all CP subtypes and levels of the GMFCS. It was shown that there was a significant difference in the fat percentage between girls and boys only with the Gurka equation in stages I, II and III. In stages IV and V, there was no significant difference between the sexes, perhaps because the sample size was smaller and could be explained by a type II error. Similar to the results shown in Table 2, we can infer that the Gurka equation is more sensitive than the other two methods for estimating the fat percentage between sexes. It was shown that TSF, AFA, the W/A ratio, MUAC and BMI are the best predictors of fat percentage in children with CP and that they explain most of the variance in fat percentage estimated by the Gurka equation. The variance of the fat percentage estimated by the Gurka equation that was explained by the BMI was exactly the same as that found by Kuperminc et al. (2010) [21] and that regarding the MUAC it was similar; also, the correlation coefficients of the TSF and the AFA were higher than those of the BMI and MUAC. One of the strengths of our study was the sample size, which included subjects with both spastic CP and other CP subtypes. In addition, we included subjects of all levels of gross motor function. One of the limitations was that we did not compare the fat percentage with DXA as gold standard because it was not available, for this reason we estimated the fat percentage by BIA. Another limitation was that the sample size of the stages IV and V of the Tanner classification was small. Another limitation was that the sample size of the group IV-V of the GMFCS was bigger than the group I-III, and finally we had a small sample size of some CP subtypes such as ataxic, dyskinetic, hypotonic and mixed.

# Conclusions

The fat percentage estimated by Gurka equation is similar to that estimated by BIA and it is more appropriate and accurate than Slaughter equation for estimating the fat percentage in children with CP from all subtypes and levels of the GMFCS. The fat percentage can be estimated using the Gurka equation. Longitudinal studies with a larger sample size are required to compare the fat percentage among different age groups.

#### Abbreviations

CP	Cerebral palsy
GMFCS	Gross motor function classification system
BIA	Bioelectrical impedance analysis
TSF	Tricipital skinfold
AFA	Arm fat area
W/A	Weight for age
LM	Lean mass
FM	Fat mass
TBW	Total body water
BMD	Bone mineral density
DXA	Dual X-ray absorptiometry
BM	Bone mass
DLW	Doubly labeled water
LL	Leg Lenght
MUAC	Mid upper arm circumference
SSF	Subscapular skinfold

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Alejandro Rea, Dr. Juan Hernández Rocha, and the Hospital Civil de Guadalajara "Dr. Juan I. Menchaca" for giving us access to work with their patients.

#### Author's contributions

Conceptualization, J.A.G.I., A.A.G.C., and E.M.V.G.; methodology, J.A.G.I., A.AG.C., and E.M.V.G.; formal analysis, J.A.G.I., A.A.G.C., E.M.V.G., and A.L.H.; writing— original draft preparation, J.A.G.I., A.A.G.C., E.M.V.G.; writing—review and editing, J.A.G.I., A.A.G.C., and E.M.V.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### Funding

This research received no funding.

#### Availability of data and materials

Data is available upon request from the corresponding author for the article due to privacy/ethical restrictions.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by tge Ethics Committee of the Hospital Civil de Guadalajara "Dr. Juan I. Menchaca" approved the protocol under number No. 1344/14. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles that gave their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki (October 1996 amendment). Informed consent was obtained from the parents/legal guardian of the participants.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

We, the authors, declare we had no competing interests.

Received: 8 June 2022 Accepted: 24 March 2023 Published online: 01 April 2023

#### References

- Särensen SJ, Brekke G, Kok K, Särensen JL, Born AP, Mälgaard C, Häi-Hansen CE. Nutritional screening of children and adolescents with cerebral palsy: a scoping review. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2021;63:1374–81.
- Finbråten AK, Martins C, Andersen GL, Skranes J, Brannsether B, Júlíusson PB, et al. Assessment of body composition in children with cerebral palsy: a cross-sectional study in Norway. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2015;57:858–64.
- Sullivan P. Measurement of body composition should become routine in nutritional assessment of children with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2015;57:793–4.
- Bell KL, Boyd RN, Tweedy SM, Weir KA, Stevenson RD, Davies PS. A prospective, longitudinal study of growth, nutrition and sedentary behaviour in young children with cerebral palsy. BMC Public Health. 2010;10:179.
- Tomoum HY, Badawy NB, Hassan NE, Alian KM. Anthropometry and body composition analysis in children with cerebral palsy. Clin Nutr. 2010;29:477–81.
- Romano C, Dipasquale V, Gottrand F, Sullivan PB. Gastrointestinal and nutritional issues in children with neurological disability. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2018;60:892–6.
- Pérez-Moya GD, Ochoa-Lares AA, González-Lugo S. Asociación entre función motora gruesa y desnutrición en niños con parálisis cerebral que acuden a un centro de rehabilitación. Rev Med Pediatr. 2019;86:138–42.
- Gurka MJ, Kuperminc MN, Busby MG, Bennis JA, Grossberg RI, Houlihan CM, Stevenson RD, Henderson RC. Assessment and correction of skinfold thickness equations in estimating body fat in children with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2010;52:e35-41.

 Walker JL, Bell KL, Stevenson RD, Weir KA, Boyd RN, Davies PS. Differences in body composition according to functional ability in preschool-aged children with cerebral palsy. Clin Nutr. 2015;34:140–5.

9

- Bell KL, Boyd RN, Walker JL, Stevenson RD, Davies PS. The use of bioelectrical impedance analysis to estimate total body water in young children with cerebral palsy. Clin Nutr. 2013;32:579–84.
- Romano C, van Wynckel M, Hulst J, Broekaert I, Bronsky J, Dall'Oglio L, et al. European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition Guidelines for the Evaluation and Treatment of Gastrointestinal and Nutritional Complications in Children With Neurological Impairment. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2017;65:242–64.
- Snik DAC, de Roos NM. Criterion validity of assessment methods to estimate body composition in children with cerebral palsy: A systematic review. Ann Phys Rehabil Med. 2019;64: 101271.
- 14. Kuperminc MN, Stevenson RD. Growth and nutrition disorders in children with cerebral palsy. Dev Disabil Res Rev. 2008;14:137–46.
- Whitney DG, Singh H, Zhang C, Miller F, Modlesky CM. Greater Visceral Fat but No Difference in Measures of Total Body Fat in Ambulatory Children With Spastic Cerebral Palsy Compared to Typically Developing Children. J Clin Densitom. 2020;23:459–64.
- Duran I, Martakis K, Rehberg M, Semler O, Schoenau E. Anthropometric measurements to identify undernutrition in children with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2019;61:1168–74.
- Zhang C, Whitney DG, Singh H, Slade JM, Shen Y, Miller F, Modlesky CM. Statistical Models to Assess Leg Muscle Mass in Ambulatory Children With Spastic Cerebral Palsy Using Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry. J Clin Densitom. 2019;22:391–400.
- Won JH, Jung SH. Bone Mineral Density in Adults With Cerebral Palsy. Front Neurol. 2021;10(12): 733322.
- Liu LF, Roberts R, Moyer-Mileur L, Samson-Fang L. Determination of body composition in children with cerebral palsy: bioelectrical impedance analysis and anthropometry vs dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. J Am Diet Assoc. 2005;105:794–7.
- 20. Sert C, Altindağ O, Sirmatel F. Determination of basal metabolic rate and body composition with bioelectrical impedance method in children with cerebral palsy. J Child Neurol. 2009;24:237–40.
- Kuperminc MN, Gurka MJ, Bennis JA, Busby MG, Grossberg RI, Henderson RC, Stevenson RD. Anthropometric measures: poor predictors of body fat in children with moderate to severe cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2010;52:824–30.
- Stallings VA, Cronk CE, Zemel BS, Chamey EB. Body composition in children with spastic quadriplegic cerebral palsy. J Pediatr. 1995;126:833–9.
- Pinto C, Borrego R, Eiró-Gomes M, Casimiro I, Raposo A, Folha T, et al. Embracing the Nutritional Assessment in Cerebral Palsy: A Toolkit for Healthcare Professionals for Daily Practice. Nutrients. 2022;14:1180.
- García Iñiguez JA, Vásquez-Garibay EM, García Contreras A, Romero-Velarde E, Troyo SR. Assessment of anthropometric indicators in children with cerebral palsy according to the type of motor dysfunction and reference standard. Nutr Hosp. 2017;30(34):315–22.
- 25. Stevenson RD. Use of segmental measures to estimate stature in children with cerebral palsy. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 1995;149:658–62.
- Slaughter MH, Lohman TG, Boileau RA, et al. Skinfold equations for estimation of body fatness in children and youth. Hum Biol. 1988;60:709–23.
- 27. Tanner J. Growth and Adolescence. 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1962.
- Lohman TG. The use of skinfold to estimate body fatness in children and youth. J Phys Educ Rec. 1987;58:98–103.
- Ohata K, Tsuboyama T, Haruta T, Ichihashi N, Nakamura T. Longitudinal change in muscle and fat thickness in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2009;51:943–8.
- Scarpato E, Staiano A, Molteni M, Terrone G, Mazzocchi A, Agostoni C. Nutritional Assessment and Intervention in Children with Cerebral Palsy: A Practical Approach. Int J Food Sci Nutr. 2017;68:763–70.
- Oeffinger DJ, Gurka MJ, Kuperminc M, Hassani S, Buhr N, Tylkowski C. Accuracy of skinfold and bioelectrical impedance assessments of body fat percentage in ambulatory individuals with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2014;56:475–81.

- van den Berg-Emons RJ, van Baak MA, Westerterp KR. Are skinfold measurements suitable to compare body fat between children with spastic cerebral palsy and healthy controls? Dev Med Child Neurol. 1998;40:335–9.
- Azcue MP, Zello GA, Levy LD, Pencharz PB. Energy expenditure and body composition in children with spastic quadriplegic cerebral palsy. J Pediatr. 1996;129:870–6.
- 34. Whitney DG, Gross-Richmond P, Hurvitz EA, Peterson MD. Total and regional body fat status among children and young people with cerebral palsy: A scoping review. Clin Obes. 2019;9: e12327.
- Martins PA, Hoffman DJ, Fernandes MT, Nascimento CR, Roberts SB, Sesso R, Sawaya AL. Stunted children gain less lean body mass and more fat mass than their non-stunted counterparts: a prospective study. Br J Nutr. 2004;92:819–25.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

