RESEARCH

Open Access



Gestational age specific anthropometric postnatal percentile charts for neonates born at tertiary hospital in Eastern Nepal

Anjum Shakya¹, Nisha Keshary Bhatta^{1,2}, Rupa Rajbhandari Singh^{1,2}, Shankar Prasad Yadav^{1,3*} and Jitendra Thakur¹

Abstract

Background and objectives: Birth weight, Head circumference (HC), and Length are important clinical indicators for evaluation of prenatal growth and identification of neonates requiring detail assessment and monitoring. Gestational age-specific percentile charts are essential tool for both obstetricians and pediatricians in their day to day practice. This study aimed to develop gestational age specific percentile chart of Birth weight, Length and HC for neonates.

Methods: In this Cross sectional observational study, HC, Birth weight and Length of live singleton neonates from 28 to 42 weeks of gestation fulfilling the inclusion criteria were measured over a period of one year. Mean, standard deviation, and percentiles values for different gestational age were calculated. Graphs were constructed using two way graph and Lowess smoothening method.

Results: Of total 2662 neonates, male: female ratio was 1.3:1 with maximum neonates in 40 weeks of gestation. The mean Birth weight, HC and Length was 2852.02 gm, 33.6 and 48.42 cm respectively. Overall males have more mean weight than females by 46.35gms. However, mean HC of male and female were similar 33.6 and 33.61 cm respectively and on average males were 0.27 cm longer compared to female. The mean Birth weight, HC and Length at 40 weeks was 3123.43gm (\pm 427.82), 34.249 cm (\pm 0.87) and 49.61 cm(\pm 1.85) respectively. The 10th, 50th and 90th percentile at 40 weeks for Birth weight being 2550gm, 3100gm and 3750gm respectively. The gestational age specific percentile chart and growth curve are appropriately placed in the manuscript.

Conclusions: The percentile charts in this study may be used as reference for local population and similar data from various parts of the nation can provide a national reference curve for healthy neonates.

Keywords: Percentile charts, Birth weight, Head circumference, Length, Nepal

Background

The neonatal anthropometric indicators - birth weight, length and head circumference(HC) are of utmost importance for evaluation of prenatal growth and identification

of infants that require thorough assessment and close monitoring postnatally.

Birth weight is also a valuable indicator of maternal health, nutrition and quality of antenatal services, and for the monitoring of epidemiological outcomes and public health care policies [1, 2]. Neonatal outcome of babies with different gestation varies despite of similar weight [3].

Similarly, long infants are at higher risk of perinatal mortality, and excessive variation in HC can denote

© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/A/J. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: shankardharan@gmail.com

³ Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, BPKIHS, Dharan, Nepal

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

malformation of the central nervous system secondary to genetic or chromosomal abnormalities or teratogenic insults [4, 5].

Based on ethnically mixed population in Colorado, the first gestational age specific anthropometric reference chart was developed by Lubchenco, et al. in 1963, which is still used in many centers [6]. These centile reference charts are used to monitor clinical measurements on individuals in the context of population values [7]. However, these charts are not universally applicable because the growth potential of the fetus is influenced by various factors including sex of the infant, ethnic group and geographical factors [8]. Changes in the parity, socioeconomic and environmental conditions necessitate an update in the existing growth charts.

Similar growth charts have been published by various authors representing growth patterns of diverse population in different parts of the world. The objective of present study is to construct percentile charts for birth weight, length and head circumference for infants born from 28 to 42 weeks of gestation to suit the neonatal population of Nepal.

Method

This study was a cross-sectional observational study conducted over a period of one year (August 2015 to July 2016) at a tertiary center, Bishweshwar Prasad Koirala Institute of Health Sciences (BPKIHS), Dharan, Nepal. All the singleton live birth from 28 to 42 weeks of gestation within 24h of birth, delivered during the study period were included in the study by consecutive nonpurposive sampling method. The ethical approval was taken from the Institutional Review Committee (IRC) of BP Koirala Institute of Health Sciences.

Gestational age was estimated by first day of the last menstrual period (LMP). In cases where LMP was unknown or in clinically discrepant cases, it was confirmed by clinical assessment using New Ballard's scoring system or first trimester ultrasonography (USG). The gestational age estimated by scoring was included if the difference between LMP and scoring was more than two weeks.

Birth weight was measured within 24h of birth after drying without clothing on the electronic weighing machine EBSA-20 to the nearest $\pm 5 \,\text{g}$ with maximum up to 20kg and calibrated before each measurement.

Length was recorded placing the child supine to the nearest 0.1 cm using infantometer. The head was held firmly in position against a fixed upright head board by one person. Legs were kept straight, keeping feet at right angles brought into firm contact with the child's heels.

Head Circumference was measured at 24 to 48 h of life with the locally available non-stretchable measuring tape,

the maximum circumference of the head from the occipital protuberance to the supraorbital ridges on the forehead to the nearest 0.1 cm was recorded.

Name of mother, birth weight, length and HC of enrolled newborn were recorded. Multiple birth, gross congenital malformations and hydrops, still birth, maternal complications (e.g. Pre-eclampsia/ eclampsia, Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM), severe anemia, maternal medical disorders.), large caput succedaneum and cephalhaematoma were excluded.

Data entry was done in Microsoft 2007 Excel. Data analysis was performed in Microsoft 2007 Excel and Stata IC 14.1. The percentiles (3rd, 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, 90th, 95th, and 97th) for the birth weight, length and HC according to gestational age was calculated, and charts constructed using Stata IC 14.1 Growth curves were constructed using two way graph and Lowess smoothening method.

Results

Out of 2662 live singleton normal newborns included in the study, 1520 were male and 1142 were female with male: female ratio of 1.3:1. The maximum neonates (n) were in 40 weeks of gestation (Fig. 1).

The mean birth weight for this study population was 2852.02 gm (\pm 571.89). Mean birth weight of male was 2871.9gm (\pm 600.78) and of female was 2825.55gm (\pm 530.13). Similarly, mean length of male newborn was 48.52 cm (\pm 2.64) and of female newborn was 48.25 cm (\pm 2.25). On an average male newborn length was 0.27 cm more than female newborn. The mean head circumference of the newborn was 33.6 cm and both male and female newborn had the similar mean head circumference.

According to gestational age of newborn, the mean \pm SD of birth weight, head circumference and length were calculated (Table 1).

The 50th percentile for birth weight, HC and length for neonates at 40 weeks of gestation were 3100gm, 34.2 and 49.8 cm respectively. The gestational age specific percentile of different anthropometric variables are shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4.

Growth curves are shown in Figs. 2, 3 and 4.

Discussion

BPKIHS is the tertiary care hospital in Eastern Nepal with highest number of deliveries. It includes mixed group of population that represents different ethnicity, races and castes. Data on birth weight indicates important role of geographic location as an environmental factor on fetal growth. This signifies that regional individualized growth charts for each population is an ideal method for evaluation [9, 10]. Hence, construction of



Table 1 Mean birth weight, head circumference and length ofneonates according to gestational age

Gestational age (in weeks)	Mean weight \pm SD (in grams)	Mean HC \pm SD (in centimeter)	Mean length \pm SD (in centimeter)
28	1131.25±186.96	26.75 ± 1.41	36.98±3.81
29	1563.64±423.14	29.59 ± 2.49	43.65 ± 2.47
30	1535.71 ± 332.49	29.16 ± 3.87	42.49 ± 3.87
31	1561.11 ± 325.2	29.58 ± 1.71	43.88 ± 1.8
32	1717.94±365.91	29.26 ± 1.76	43.99 ± 2.97
33	1848.33 ± 377.32	30.66 ± 1.54	44.21 ± 2.16
34	2251.04 ± 438.96	32.03 ± 1.73	45.95 ± 1.74
35	2328.23 ± 373.77	32.6 ± 1.71	46.42 ± 1.49
36	2594.45 ± 445.56	33.19 ± 1.21	47.24 ± 1.69
37	2730.9 ± 427.62	33.48 ± 1.17	47.9 ± 1.86
38	2971.03 ± 396.99	33.92 ± 1.03	49.09 ± 1.86
39	3057.76 ± 403.05	34.133 ± 0.9	49.29 ± 1.79
40	3123.43±427.82	34.249 ± 0.87	49.61 ± 1.85
41	3193.39 ± 398.09	34.406 ± 0.83	49.85 ± 1.76
42	3136.83±442.43	34.328 ± 0.72	49.22 ± 1.72

percentile charts for this population prepared according to the features advised by WHO would create ideal reference for the country [11].

A WHO multicenter study reported that the average birth weight of Nepalese newborns was 2780 gms [12]. Our study has shown higher overall mean birth weight (2852.02 gms) as compared to WHO study. The mean birth weight at 40 weeks of gestation was 3123.43gms which was similar to a study done by Manandhar et al. in a tertiary care hospital in Kathmandu who has reported it as 3100 gms [13] and higher than the report of Aryal et al. where mean birth weight of babies at 40 weeks was 3023 gms [3]. This mean birth weight was much higher than that of other countries of this region where the studies from Bangladesh reported it as 2679.0 ± 431.43 to $2,889 \pm 468$ gms [14, 15] and of India 2666 to 2945 ± 516 gm [16, 17]. As we have not studied maternal factors affecting birth weight like socioeconomic status, consanguinity, gravida status and paternal factors, it would be difficult to explain the difference in mean birth weights between these studies.

The mean head circumference of neonates in our study was 33.6 ± 1.55 cm, with male and female neonates having HC of 33.6 \pm 1.67 cm and 33.61 \pm 1.38 cm respectively which is lower in overall $(36.55 \pm 1.189 \text{ cm})$ as well as both male $(36.57 \pm 4.60 \text{ cm})$ and female $(36.54 \pm 4.67 \text{ cm})$ compared to study done in Western Rajasthan [10]. The neonates included in the above study included only term neonates from gestational age of 37-42 weeks which could be the cause for higher HC compared to our study. However at 40 weeks of gestation the mean HC in study our study was 34.25 ± 0.87 cm which is similar to Manandhar et al. of 34 ± 1.2 cm but much higher than that by Aryal et al. and Lubchenco et al. which were 33.61 ± 1.52 and 33.8 cm respectively [3, 6, 13]. Similarly, In a study done in British Columbia, the mean head circumference of male 34.70 ± 1.64 cm was higher than that of females

Gestational age (in weeks)	3 rd	10 th	25 th	50 th	75 th	90 th	97 th
28	950	950	950	1125	1287	1330	1379
29	1150	1160	1250	1400	2000	2050	2330
30	1000	1100	1250	1500	1825	2025	2030.
31	1200	1245	1300	1500	1712.	2205	2224.
32	1231	1275	1500	1675	1825	2250	2795
33	1207.	1500	1612.	1800	2000	2195	2877.
34	1432	1720	2000	2250	2400	2800	3500
35	1500	1910	2162.	2350	2500	2745	3248.
36	1755.	2000	2300	2600	2850	3205	3500
37	2000	2100	2500	2750	3000	3280	3500
38	2250	2500	2700	3000	3250	3500	3750
39	2445	2500	2750	3000	3250	3600	3900
40	2300	2550	2800	3100	3462	3750	3947.
41	2500	2750	2900	3200	3450	3750	4065.
42	2300	2500	3862.	3150	3300	3750	4012.

Table 2 Percentile values of birth weight (grams) for each gestation from 28 to 42 weeks

Table 3 Percentile values of head circumference (centimeter) for each gestation from 28 to 42 weeks

Gestational age (in weeks)	3 rd	10 th	25 th	50 th	75 th	90 th	97 th
28	25.7	25.7	25.9	26.5	28.6	28.6	28.8
29	26.4	26.5	27	29.8	32	32.8	33
30	25.5	26	27.5	28.6	31.1	32.1	32.6
31	27	27	28.2	29.4	30.8	32.1	32.5
32	27	27	27.9	29	30.5	32.1	32.7
33	27	28.8	30	31	31.7	32	33.9
34	27	29.9	31.2	32	33.2	33.8	34.5
35	30	31.5	32	33	33	33.9	34.3
36	30	31.5	32.6	33.5	34	34.5	34.88
37	30.8	32.1	33	33.7	34.2	34.7	35
38	32	33	33.4	34	34.5	35	35.4
39	32	33	33.6	34.1	34.7	35.3	35.6
40	32.5	33.2	33.8	34.2	34.8	35.4	36
41	32.8	33.5	34	34.4	35	35.4	36
42	32.8	33.4	34	34.4	34.7	35	35.8

 34.13 ± 1.52 cm which was higher in both gender as compared to our study [18].

Birth length at 40 weeks of gestation was found to be similar in our study (49.61 ± 1.85 cm) compared with that of Aryal et al. (49.22 ± 1.52 cm), Lubchenco et al. (49.4) and Manandhar et al. (49.2 ± 2.2 cm) [3, 6, 13]. Similarly, males (51.98 ± 2.84 cm) were longer than females (51.23 ± 2.72 cm) similar to our study but with lower mean value [18].

In comparison to our data with that of Lubchenco, et al. suggests that the 10th and 90th centiles of our neonates

are lower. This resulted in overestimation of the incidence of SGA and underestimation of LGA babies, leading to many AGA neonates labelled as SGA, and LGA neonates being overlooked as they are falsely classified as appropriate for gestational age (AGA). This highlights the importance of population specific and updated growth charts.

The limitations of the study are the gestational age of the neonates were not ultrasonography based but rather mostly based on LMP which may have error due to various factors like educational status of

Gestational age (in weeks)	3 rd	10 th	25 th	50 th	75 th	90 th	97 th
28	34	34	34.9	35.6	37.5	42.3	42.4
29	38	38.8	42.8	43.3	45.6	46.5	46.7
30	33	35	40.3	44	45.5	45.7	46
31	41	41	42.8	43.4	45.7	46.2	46.8
32	35.9	39.5	42	44.5	46.1	47.9	48.7
33	38.1	42.6	43.5	44	45	46.2	48.2
34	42.6	44	45	45.9	46.7	48	49.9
35	43.6	45	46	46	47	48	49.3
36	43.8	45.5	46	47	48.5	49.6	50.8
37	44	45.8	46.8	47.8	49	50.2	51.3
38	46	46.9	47.5	49	50.5	51.6	52.4
39	46.4	46.9	48	49.1	50.8	51.6	52.5
40	46	47	48	49.8	51	51.8	52.8
41	46.8	47.3	48.5	50	51	52	53
42	46	46.8	48	49.2	50.2	51.2	52.5

Table 4 Percentile values of length (centimeter) for each gestation from 28 to 42 weeks



mother leading to under or over estimation of gestational age. The numbers of preterm infants were less in number similar to other studies. Factors other than ethnicity like altitude, maternal size, parity, smoking, parental social position which can affect fetal growth could confound the ethnic differences which has been observed in numerous other studies were not analyzed in detail and lastly it was a single centered study.





Conclusions

The study concluded that the mean birth weight, head circumference and length of the single live neonates from 28 to 42 weeks of gestation was 2852.02 ± 571.89 gms, 33.6 ± 1.55 cm and 48.42 ± 2.48 cm respectively.

In this study, we have established local relevant gestational age specific percentile chart and growth curves for Birth weight, Head circumference and length which might be appropriate for babies born in this region.

Abbreviations

AGA: Appropriate for Gestational Age; BPKIHS: Bishweshwar Prasad Koirala Institute of Health Sciences; GDM: Gestational Diabetes Mellitus; HC: Head Circumference; IRC: Institutional Review Committee; LGA: Large for Gestational Age; LMP: Last Menstrual Period; SD: Standard Deviation; SGA: Small for Gestational Age; USG: Ultrasonography; WHO: World Health Organization.

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge Dr. Ohmar Man Pradhan, Dr. Pradeep Shrestha and Satya Bhama Shrestha for guidance and helping with the computer works.

Authors' contributions

AS: concept and design, drafting of article, data collection, final approval of the article. NKB and SPY: design, drafting of article, data analysis of article. RRS and JT: design, drafting of article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

There was no funding from any organization or person.

Availability of data and materials

The dataset used and/ or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical clearance was obtained from Institutional Review Committee (IRC) IRC/593/015 of BP Koiral Institute of Health Sciences. Informed consent was obtained from parents of allparticipants. All methods were carriedout in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Informed assent was taken from Parents. Confidentiality of data was maintained.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Pediatrics, B.P.Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal. ²Division of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, BPKIHS, Dharan, Nepal. ³Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, BPKIHS, Dharan, Nepal.

Received: 16 March 2021 Accepted: 16 December 2021 Published online: 15 February 2022

References

 Kumar V, Deshmukh PR, Taywade M, Gupta SS. Magnitude and correlates of low birth weight at term in rural Wardha, Central India. Online J Heal Allied Scs. 2016;15(1):2.

- 2. KHENG GOHS. Birthweight percentiles bygestational age and maternal factors that affect birthweight in Singapore. 2011.
- Aryal DR, Gurung R, Misra S, Khanal P, Pradhan A, Gurubacharya SM. Intrauterine growth curves forsingleton live babies in Paropakar maternity and women's hospital in Nepal. J Nepal Health Res Counc. 2012;10(21):160–6.
- Oluwafemi O, Njokanma F, Disu E, Ogunlesi T. The current pattern of gestational age-related anthropometric parameters of term Nigerian neonates. S Afr J Child Health. 2013;7(3):100–4.
- Fok TF, So HK, Wong E, Ng PC, Chang A, Lau J, et al. Updated gestational age specific birth weight, crown-heel length, and head circumference of Chinese newborns. Arch Dis Childhood-Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2003;88(3):F229-36.
- Lubchenco LO, Hansman C, Dressler M, Boyd E. Intrauterine growth as estimated from liveborn birth-weight data at 24 to 42 weeks of gestation. Pediatrics. 1963;32(5):793–800.
- Bonellie S, Chalmers J, Gray R, Greer I, Jarvis S, Williams C. Centile charts for birthweight for gestational age for Scottish singleton births. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2008;8(1):5.
- Kandraju H, Agrawal S, Geetha K, Sujatha L, Subramanian S, Murki S. Gestational age-specific centile charts for anthropometry at birth for south Indian infants. Indian Pediatr. 2012;49(3):199–202. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s13312-012-0060-2.
- Das UG, Sysyn GD. Abnormal fetal growth: intrauterine growth retardation, small for gestational age, large for gestational age. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2004;51(3):639–54, viii. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2004.01.004.
- Kataria SK, Gaur S. An anthropometric study of normal full term newborns at birth in western Rajasthan. Int J Adv Res. 2014;2(10):671–5.
- Ulijaszek SJ. Between-population variation in pre-adolescent growth. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1994;48(Suppl 1):S5–13; discussion S13–4. Available from: http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/8005091.
- World Health Organization. Regional Office for South-East Asia. Multicentre study of low birth weight and infant mortality in India, Nepal and Sri Lanka. WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia. 1994. https://apps.who. int/iris/handle/10665/205542.
- Manandhar SR, Manandhar DS. Gestational age specific anthropometric percentile charts of newborns at Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital. J Kathmandu Med Coll. 2014;3(3):97–101.
- Huque F, Hussain Z. Detection of low birth-weight new born babies by anthropometric measurements in Bangladesh. Indian J Pediatr. 1991;58(2):223–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02751125.
- Dhar B, Mowlah G, Nahar S, Islam N. Birth-weight status of newborns and its relationship with other anthropometric parameters in a public maternity hospital in Dhaka, Bangladesh. J Health Popul Nutr. 2002;20(1):36–41.
- Yajnik CS, Fall CHD, Coyaji KJ, Hirve SS, Rao S, Barker DJP, et al. Neonatal anthropometry: the thin–fat Indian baby. The Pune maternal nutrition study. Int J Obes. 2003;27(2):173–80.
- 17. Matthews Mathai SJ, Karthikeyan NG. Birthweight standards for south Indian babies. Indian Pediatr. 1996;33:203.
- Kierans WJ, Kendall PRW, Foster LT, Liston RM, Tuk T. New birth body length and head circumference charts for the British Columbia population. B C Med J. 2007;49(2):72–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.